OTIC FILE COPY



OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-87-K-0457

R&T Code 4134015---01

Technical Report No. 24

"Flexibility of the Zeolite RHO Framework. Neutron Powder Structural Characterization of Ca-Exchanged Zeolite RHO.

by

#D. R. Corbin, M. M. Eddy, #L Abrams, #G. A. Jones, G. D. Stucky, and D. E. Cox

Submitted for publication in Journal of the Chemical Society of London, Chemical Communications

Department of Chemistry University of California Santa Barbara, California 93106

July 1988



*Central Research and Development Department, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Experimental Station, Wilmington, DE 19898.

^Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Long Island, Upton, NY 11973.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

*This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

*This statement should also appear in Item 10 of the Document Control Data-DD Form 1473. Copies of the form available from cognizant contract administration.

SCHOLTY	CLASSIFIC.	ATION OF	THIS PAGE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS FACE						
	REPORT DOCUM	ENTATION PAG	Ε			
14 REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION unclassified	16 RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS none					
24 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY	3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT					
TA DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHE			•			
A PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUM	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)					
24 •						
64 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Department of Chemistry University of Calif., Santa Bar	7& NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION					
Sc. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)	7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)					
University of California, Santa Santa Barbara, CA 93106	Barbara					
CA NAME OF FUNDING SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	20. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT	NSTRUMENT ID	ENTIFICATION N	IUMBER -	
Navy	<u> </u>					
Bc. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		FROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	-WORK UNIT	
	·					
see below #19.	-					
12. PERSONAL AUTHORIS) D.R. Corbin, M.M. Eddy, L. Abra	ms, G.A. Jones,		.E. Cox	<u> </u>		
134 TYPE OF REPORT 136 TIME O	OVERED -	14. DATE OF REPOR		15. PAGE	COUNT	
technical FROM 10	/1/87 το 9/30/88	Julie 1908				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•				
17. COSATI CODES	THE SUBJECT TERMS (C			e		
FIELD GROUP SUB. GR.	1	SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)				
	zeolite RHO, st	ructure, flexibility, neutron powder diffraction				
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary an		,				
see attached						
#11 Flexibility of the Zeolite of Ca-Exchanged Zeolite RH		Neutron Powde	r Structura	l Character	ization	
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY UP ABSTRA	CT	21. ABSTRACT SECU	RITY CLASSIEL	CATION		
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 🖾 SAME AS RPT.						
224 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	C UTIC USERS C	unclassi		ZZG. OFFICE SYN	AROL	
G.D. Stucky	(805) 961-4872	de i	aze. OFFICE 311			

Abstract:

POODER MARKET CHERRY BASE TOO

Neutron powder diffraction structural characterizations of dehydrated samples of Ca-exchanged zeolite RHO showing the most pronounced distortions

of this aluminosilicate framework from Im3m to I43m symmetry yet observed and corresponding to greater than a 7% decrease in the lattice parameter are reported.



}
]
_
í
1
 9



Flexibility of the Zeolite RHO Framework. Neutron Powder Structural Characterization of Ca-Exchanged Zeolite RHO

D. R. Corbin¹, M. M. Eddy², L. Abrams¹, G. A. Jones¹, G. D. Stucky², and D. E. Cox³

Summary

and the special section of the section of

Neutron powder diffraction structural characterizations of dehydrated samples of Ca-exchanged zeolite RHO showing the most pronounced distortions of this aluminosilicate framework from

Im3m to 143m symmetry yet observed and corresponding to greater than a 7% decrease in the lattice parameter are reported.

contil

1 Central Research and Development Department E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc. Experimental Station
Wilmington, DE 19898
Contribution Number _____

²Department of Chemistry University of California at Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, CA 93106

³Physics Department Brookhaven National Laboratory Long Island, Upton, NY 11973 Zeolites are crystalline, microporous aluminosilicate materials with open framework structures. It is the shape and size of the pore openings that give a zeolite its molecular sieving ability and hence selectivity when used as a catalyst, support, or adsorbent. Generally, the framework and hence the pore openings of a zeolite are considered rigid structures. However, several zeolite frameworks have been shown to exhibit small distortions of symmetry by sorption of solvents¹ or as a function of temperature². The magnitude of these observed distortions and their effects on the pore openings are insignificant when compared to the flexibility and distortions observed in the framework of zeolite RHO.

rent's

The framework of zeolite RHO is composed of a body-centered cubic arrangement of truncated cubo-octahedra or a-cages linked via double 8-rings. Structural studies have shown that zeolite H-RHO undergoes an appreciable distortion and loss of symmetry from Im3m to I43m upon dehydration. This change in symmetry is directly related to an enormous 2.3% decrease in the lattice parameter which goes from 15.031(1) to 14.678(1)Å. Deformation of the dehydrated form also shows a temperature dependence.

For many zeolites, control over ring aperture dimensions is achieved typically using different sized cations as in zeolites 3A, 4A, and 5A. The cations K+, Na+, and Ca²⁺ in the A framework eclipse the ring opening depending on their size and population. However, for zeolite RHO it is the framework itself which distorts to modify the cage openings. Parise et al.⁵ defined a parameter, Δ ,

to describe the distortion or ellipticity of the double 8-ring which accompanies this distortion and showed it to vary from 0 to about 1.9Å. The effective cross-section of these rings or size and shape of the openings connecting α -cages is related to Δ .

For some cation substituted zeolites, the effect of the charge-compensation is to produce lattice strain that can be sufficient to promote decomposition of that zeolite under mild conditions⁶. By virtue of its flexibility, the RHO framework is able to distort and relieve the strain imposed by the charge-compensating ions. In this communication, we report our initial results of the structure refinement from neutron diffraction data of two divalent exchanged samples, Ca,ND₄-RHO and Ca,D-RHO, showing the largest distortion of the RHO framework yet observed.

enter consumer about the same and the same a

Ca,NH₄-RHO (Ca_{4.0}(NH₄)_{3.3}Na_{0.13}Cs_{0.10}Al_{11.5}Si_{36.5}O₉₆) was prepared by conventional NH₄+- followed by Ca²+-exchange of Na,Cs-RHO⁷. Deuterated forms were prepared for neutron powder diffraction study as follows. Two samples of Ca,NH₄-RHO were evacuated while being heated to 250°C and 400°C, respectively. After prolonged evacuation at temperature, the samples were cooled to 250°C and exchanged with D₂O vapor. After exchange, one sample was evacuated at 250°C yielding Ca,ND₄-RHO while the other was evacuated at 400°C to produce Ca,D-RHO.

The structures of Ca,ND₄-RHO and Ca,D-RHO were determined from neutron powder data collected at room temperature on diffractometer H4S at the High-Flux Beam Reactor, Brookhaven

National Laboratory. Structural refinements were carried out using the program G.S.A.S.⁸ and in all cases soft constraints or restraints⁹ were used throughout the refinements in space group $\overline{143}$ m. From the analysis for Ca,ND₄-RHO, it is apparent that two phases exist in this particular sample. This dual phase system may be caused by inhomogeneous distribution of the cations. Both phases present in Ca,ND₄-RHO have a single extra-framework cation site located at the center of the double eight-ring. The phase with the smaller unit cell dimension has a larger content of calcium ions (Phase 2, a = 14.110(1)Å, R_p = 16.1%, R_{wp} = 21.8%, Δ = 2.31Å), which distort the eight-ring ellipse more than the bulky ND₄+ cations (Phase 1, a = 14.410(2)Å, R_p = 9.1%, R_{wp} = 11.5%, Δ = 1.92Å).

Data obtained for the Ca,D-RHO sample shows that it is a single phase (a = 13.9645(7)Å, $R_p = 9.6\%$, $R_{wp} = 12.6\%$, $\Delta = 2.49$ Å). Calcium cations are located at the center of the double eight-ring, tetrahedrally coordinated to four framework oxygen atoms (Ca-O = 2.70(1)Å). This distorts the eight-rings into highly anisotropic ellipses, in which Δ , the difference of the major and minor axes, is significantly greater than anything yet observed (2.49Å). Work in progress shows that Δ is a function of the nature of the cation.

Unlike calcium-exchanged zeolite A where the calcium ions are sited in a trigonal environment within the 6-rings of the α -cage¹⁰, the calcium ions reside in a very unusual and strained tetrahedral environment in Ca,D-RHO. However, such coordination is not uncommon in dehydrated zeolites¹¹. Upon removal of water, the

cations must use whatever charge compensating coordination is available, and, in this case the flexibility of the framework provides a tetrahedral environment rather than the trigonal site associated with the six-ring. The significant distortion of the RHO framework by the calcium ion attests to the strong interaction between the framework and the ion. The lack of degradation of the sample upon calcination is additional evidence of the stability of the RHO framework imparted by its ability to distort.

The cell dimensions refined for this sample of zeolite RHO are the smallest observed to date, and underscores the flexibility and the stability of the RHO framework. Thus, we have now shown that the framework of zeolite RHO can be manipulated from about 15.1Å to 13.965Å by suitable ion exchange and calcination procedures. This ability to modify the dimensions of zeolite frameworks is essential for control of size and shape dependent sorption behavior or for the tailoring of catalytic specificity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank R. L. Harlow for helpful discussions and the Office of Naval Research for support (G.D.S.).

REFERENCES

- 1. C. A. Fyfe, G. J. Kennedy, C. T. De Schutter, and G. T. Kokotailo, *J. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, 541-542.
- 2. J. M. Bennett, C. S. Blackwell, and D. E. Cox, In 'Intrazeolite Chemistry', G. D. Stucky and F. G. Dwyer, Eds., American Chemical Society, Washington, D C, 1983; Adv. Chem. Ser. 218, pp.143-158; J. M. Bennett, C. S. Blackwell, and D. E. Cox, J. Phys. Chem. 1983, 87, 3783-3790.
- 3. L. B. McCusker and C. Baerlocher, In 'Proceedings of the Sixth International Zeolite Conference', D. Olson and A. Bisio, Eds., Butterworths, Guildford, Surry, UK, 1984, pp. 812-822.
- 4. J. B. Parise, L. Abrams, T. E. Gier, D. R. Corbin, J. D. Jorgensen, and E. Prince, *J. Phys. Chem.* 1984, 88, 2303-2307.
- 5. J. B. Parise, T. E. Gier, D. R. Corbin, and D. E. Cox, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1984,** *88*, 1635-1640.
- 6. W. Lutz, B. Fahlke, U. Lohse, M. Buelow, and J. Richter-Mendau, J. Crystal Res. & Technol. 1983, 18(4), 513-518.
- 7. H. E. Robson, U. S. Patent 3,720,753 (1973).
- 8. R. B. von Dreele and A. C. Larsen, Los Alamos Report LAUR 86-748.
- 9. C. Baerlocher, In 'Proceedings of the Sixth International Zeolite Conference', D. Olson and A. Bisio, Eds., Butterworths, Guildford, Surry, UK, 1984, pp. 823-833; J. Waser, *Acta Cryst.* 1963, 16, 1091.
- 10. J. J. Pluth and J. V. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1192.

11. J. B. Parise and E. Prince, Mat. Res. Bull. 1983, 18, 841-852.